



This rubric will be used to assess MAPS: Millersville Abroad Program proposals and also to determine *recurring status*. This form provides feedback to help Program Leaders improve their future MAPS Proposals and provides official documentation of their success.

Program Leader:

Program Title:

Term:

	<b>Distinction (3 points)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (2 points)</b>	<b>Needs Improvement (1 point)</b>	<b>Missing (0 Points)</b>	<b>Score</b>
<b>Program Overview</b>	Proposal presents persuasive rationale for destination and alignment with program goals and outcomes.	Proposal presents some rationale for destination and alignment with program goals and outcomes.	Proposal may be missing either a rationale for the destination or alignment with goals and outcomes.	No rationale for the location/destination or alignment is evident.	
<b>Core Values</b> (Ex. EPPIC, UN Sustainability Goals, prof organization standards, others)	Selected a set of values or goals; Clear connections between core values and the program.	Selected a set of values or goals; Connection between core values and the program.	Selected a set of values or goals; Inferences may be made relating core values to the program.	Core values are not selected or embedded into the program.	
<b>Academic Rigor</b>	Dependence is clear between learning and study abroad, e.g., course.	Clear relationship between learning and study abroad.	Unclear relationship between learning and study abroad.	Program lacks academic rigor.	
<b>Itinerary</b>	Itinerary describes in detail daily locations, learning, and experiences.	Itinerary describes daily locations, learning, and experiences.	Itinerary generally describes locations, learning, or experiences.	Incomplete itinerary.	
<b>Budget</b>	Detailed budget is reasonable and cost-effective. Includes efficiency details.	Budget is reasonable, i.e., at or below Foreign Per Diem Rates.	Budget is excessive, i.e., exceed Foreign Per Diem Rates.	Incomplete budget and/or payment plan.	
<b>Risk Assessment</b>	Details related to health, safety, and security are reasonable and specific.	Details related to health, safety, and security are reasonable.	Incomplete details related to health, safety, or security.	Health, safety, or security not addressed.	

Date of Review:

TOTAL:

Comments: